Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of Court

\*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

M. Mogel, Deputy

2/17/2016 2:11:00 PM

Filing ID 7203587

1 BAIRD, WILLIAMS & GREER, L.L.P. 6225 NORTH 24TH STREET, SUITE 125 2 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016 3 TELEPHONE (602) 256-9400 4 Michael C. Blair (018994) 5 mblair@bwglaw.net Attorneys for Graham and Clark defendants 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 8 9 No. CV2014-015333 No. CV2014-015334 No. CV2014-015335 Desert Mountain Club, Inc., 10 Plaintiff, 11 VS. Notice of Errata Regarding Exhibit B to 12 Eric Graham and Rhona Graham, husband **Defendants' Motion to Compel** and wife, et al. Responses to the Clarks' Non-Uniform 13 **Interrogatories** Defendants. 14 (Assigned to the Honorable David Gass) 15 Defendants hereby file this notice of errata regarding their motion to compel responses to the 16 Clarks' non-uniform interrogatories filed on January 26, 2016. Due to a copying oversight, the first 17 page of exhibit B attached to that motion was not included with the filed document. Accordingly, 18 attached as exhibit A hereto is a complete copy of the Rule 3.2(h) statement that should have been 19 attached to defendants' motion to compel. 20 Respectfully submitted this 17<sup>th</sup> day of February 2016. 21 22 23 /s/ Michael C. Blair Michael C. Blair 24 Baird, Williams & Greer, LLP 6225 North 24th Street, Suite 125 25 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 26 Attorneys for Graham and Clark defendants 27 /// 28

Original eFiled with the Clerk's ECF filing system this 17th day of February 2016
Copy mailed this same day to:
The Honorable David Gass
Maricopa County Superior Court 101 W. Jefferson (ECB #514) Phoenix, AZ 85003-2243
Thochia, AZ 63003-2243
Copies emailed/mailed this same day to:
Christopher L. Callahan Theresa Dwyer-Federhar
Jennifer L. Blasko
Fennemore Craig, P.C. 2394 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429 ccallahan@fclaw.com
ccallahan@fclaw.com
iblasko@fclaw.com  jblasko@fclaw.com
Attorneys for plaintiff
/s/ Marcy McAlister

## Exhibit A

BAIRD, WILLIAMS & GREER, L.L.P. 6225 NORTH 24<sup>TH</sup> STREET, SUITE 125 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016 TELEPHONE (602) 256-9400

Michael C. Blair (018994)
<a href="mailto:mblair@bwglaw.net">mblair@bwglaw.net</a>
Attorneys for Graham and Clark defendants

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

Desert Mountain Club, Inc., Plaintiff,	) No. CV2014-015333 ) No. CV2014-015334 ) No. CV2014-015335 ) (Consolidated)
vs. Eric Graham and Rhona Graham, husband and wife, et al.	Defendants' Statement Required by Local Rule 3.2(h) of the Maricopa County Superior Court Rules
Defendants.	(Assigned to the Honorable David Gass)

Defendants hereby submit their statement required by Rule 3.2(h) of the Local Rules of the Maricopa County Superior Court setting forth the discovery requested, the answer received, and the reason why the answers are deficient.

Attached hereto as exhibit 1 is a copy of plaintiff's responses to defendants' non-uniform interrogatories. These responses contain defendants' interrogatories and plaintiffs' objections and responses. Prior to the January 14, 2016, meet and confer between counsel, undersigned counsel sent a letter to plaintiff's counsel detailing why plaintiff's responses were deficient. Exhibit 2 hereto.

The responses are deficient because, with the exception of a partial response to interrogatory 2, they provide no responsive information at all. In fact, plaintiff unequivocally states it will not produce information in response to interrogatories 1, 4, and 7, unless the court enters an order compelling it to do so. The information sought is highly relevant for defendants to prepare their defense; namely, but not exclusively, to determine whether all departing members of the club were treated equally. Additional reasons why the responses are deficient are set forth in defendants' motion to compel.

day of January 2016. Dated this U

Michael C. Blair

Baird, Williams & Greer, LLP
6225 North 24<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 125

Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Attorneys for Graham and Clark defendants